

PROJECTIVE EMBEDDINGS OF BALL QUOTIENTS,  
BIRATIONAL TO A BI-ELLIPTIC SURFACE

Azniv Kasparian

Received on September 28, 2022

Presented by S. Ivanov, Corresponding Member of BAS, on November 29, 2022

Abstract

For a neat lattice  $\Gamma < SU(1, 2)$ , whose quotient  $\mathbb{B}/\Gamma$  is birational to a bi-elliptic surface, we compute the dimensions of the cuspidal  $\Gamma$ -modular forms  $[\Gamma, n]_o$  and all modular forms  $[\Gamma, n]$  of weight  $n \geq 2$ . The work provides a sufficient condition for a subspace  $V \subset [\Gamma, n]$  to determine a regular projective embedding of the Baily-Borel compactification  $\widehat{\mathbb{B}/\Gamma}$  and applies this criterion to a specific example.

**Key words:** modular forms, projective embeddings, cohomology

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 14G35, 11F11, 14F25, 11F23

Throughout, let  $\mathbb{B} = SU(1, 2)/S(U_1 \times U_2)$  be the complex 2-ball,  $\Gamma < SU(1, 2)$  be a neat lattice,  $Q := \mathbb{B}/\Gamma$ ,  $Z := \widehat{\mathbb{B}/\Gamma} = Q \coprod (\partial_\Gamma \mathbb{B}/\Gamma)$  for the cusps  $\partial_\Gamma \mathbb{B}/\Gamma = \{\kappa_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq h\}$  and  $X := (\widehat{\mathbb{B}/\Gamma})' = Q \coprod D$ ,  $D = \sum_{j=1}^h D_j$  be the toroidal compactification, obtained by the resolution  $\rho : X \rightarrow Z$  of  $\kappa_j$ ,  $\rho^{-1}(\kappa_j) = D_j$ . Consider the space  $[\Gamma, n]$  of the  $\Gamma$ -modular forms of weight  $n$ , the subspace  $[\Gamma, n]_o$  of the cuspidal forms and a rational map  $\Phi_{[\Gamma, n]} = [f_0 : \cdots : f_M] : Z \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}([\Gamma, n]) = \mathbb{P}^M(\mathbb{C})$ , defined by a basis of  $[\Gamma, n]$ . In [1] BAILY and BOREL show the existence of a sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for which  $\Phi_{[\Gamma, n]}$  is a regular embedding. There arise the

---

Partially supported by the Science Foundation of Sofia University under contract 80-10-52/10.05.2022.

DOI:10.7546/CRABS.2023.01.01

problems of obtaining infinite series  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{N}$ , for which  $\Phi_{[\Gamma, n_k]}$  are regular embeddings, and explicit generators of subspaces  $V(n) \subset [\Gamma, n]$ , providing regular injective  $\Phi_{V(n)} : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V(n))$ .

For a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear space  $\mathcal{W}$  and a subgroup  $\mathfrak{G} \leq \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{W})$ , let  $\mathcal{W}^\mathfrak{G}$  be the subspace of the  $\mathfrak{G}$ -invariants. If  $S$  is a surface, denote  $\mathfrak{K}_S := \Omega_S^{2,0}$  and put  $K_S$  for the canonical divisor. Recall the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear isomorphisms  $j_n : [\Gamma, n] \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{B}, \mathfrak{K}_{\mathbb{B}}^{\otimes n})^\Gamma = H^0(Q, \mathfrak{K}_Q^{\otimes n}) = H^0(Z, \mathfrak{K}_Q^{\otimes n})$ ,  $j_n(f) = f(z)(dz_1 \wedge dz_2)^{\otimes n}$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , allowing to view  $[\Gamma, n] = H^0(Z, \mathfrak{K}_Q^{\otimes n})$ . Let  $\mathrm{Bl} : X \rightarrow Y$  be the blow down of the rational  $(-1)$ -curves  $L_i \subset X$  to a minimal surface  $Y$ ,  $L = \sum_{i=1}^s L_i$  be the exceptional divisor of

$\mathrm{Bl}$  and  $C := \sum_{j=1}^h C_j$  for  $C_j := \mathrm{Bl}(D_j)$ . For any global meromorphic section  $\sigma$  of

$\mathfrak{K}_X(D)$ ,  $\sigma^{\otimes n} : \mathcal{L}(n(K_X + D)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathfrak{K}_X(D)^{\otimes n})$ ,  $\sigma^{\otimes(-n)} \rho^* : H^0(Z, \mathfrak{K}_Q^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_X(n(K_X + D))$  are  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear isomorphisms. The multiplicity  $m_p : (\mathrm{Div}(Y), +) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}, +)$  at  $p \in Y$  is the homomorphism, which on any irreducible curve  $M \subset Y$  has value  $m_p(M) = 1$  if  $p \in M$  or  $m_p(M) = 0$  if  $p \notin M$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_Y(n(K_Y + C), nC^{\mathrm{sing}}) := \{f \in \mathbb{C}(Y)^* \mid (f) + n(K_Y + C) \geq 0, m_p(f) + n \geq 0, \forall p \in C^{\mathrm{sing}}\} \cup \{0\}$  and note that  $\mathrm{Bl}^* : \mathcal{L}_Y(n(K_Y + C), nC^{\mathrm{sing}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_X(n(K_X + D))$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear isomorphism. ‘‘The transfer’’  $\theta_n := (\rho^*)^{-1} \sigma^{\otimes(n)} \mathrm{Bl}^* : \mathcal{L}_Y(n(K_Y + C), nC^{\mathrm{sing}}) \rightarrow j_n([\Gamma, n])$  of rational functions on  $Y$  to  $\Gamma$ -modular forms is introduced by HOLZAPFEL in [2] and it restricts to  $\theta_n : \mathcal{L}_Y((n-1)(K_Y + C), nC^{\mathrm{sing}}) \rightarrow j_n([\Gamma, n]_o)$ .

Holzapfel’s [2] constructs  $X_o = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_o)'$  with an abelian minimal model and an explicit embedding  $\Phi_V : \widehat{\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_o} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{22}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $V \subset [\Gamma_o, 3]$ , whose image is cut by 20 independent relations. The present work studies  $[\Gamma, n]$  for  $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$  with a bi-elliptic minimal model  $Y_{d,t} = E_1 \times E_0/G_{d,t}$ . Throughout,  $\Lambda_j := \pi_1(E_j)$ ,  $\zeta_d := e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}}$ ,  $G_{d,t} := \langle g_d \rangle \times \langle \tau_t \rangle \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_d \times \mathbb{Z}_t, +)$ ,  $g_d := \mathcal{T}(A_d + \Lambda_1, \Lambda_0) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_d \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\tau_t := \mathcal{T}(B'_t + \Lambda_1, B''_t + \Lambda_0)$  and  $\pi : E_1 \times E_0 \rightarrow Y_{d,t}$  be the etale  $G_{d,t}$ -Galois covering. According to [3], there is a neat  $\Gamma_o \triangleleft \Gamma$  with  $\Gamma/\Gamma_o \simeq G_{d,t}$ , such that  $X_o := X \times_{Y_{d,t}} (E_1 \times E_0)$  is the toroidal compactification  $X_o = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_o)'$  of  $Q_o := \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_o$ ,  $\zeta := \mathrm{Pr}_1 : X_o \rightarrow X$  is an etale  $G_{d,t}$ -Galois covering and  $\mathrm{Bl}_o := \mathrm{Pr}_2 : X_o \rightarrow E_1 \times E_0$  contracts the rational  $(-1)$ -curves on  $X_o$ . The resolutions  $\rho : X \rightarrow Z$ ,  $\rho_o : X_o \rightarrow Z_o := \widehat{\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_o}$  are compatible with  $\zeta$  and induce a  $G_{d,t}$ -Galois covering  $\widehat{\zeta} : Z_o \rightarrow Z$ , ramified at most over  $\partial_\Gamma \mathbb{B}/\Gamma$ . By (3) and (4) from [4],  $[\Gamma, n] = [\Gamma_o, n]^{G_{d,t}}$  and  $\widehat{\zeta}^* : H^0(Z, \mathfrak{K}_Q^{\otimes n}) \simeq H^0(Z_o, \mathfrak{K}_{Q_o}^{\otimes n})^{G_{d,t}}$ . If  $B := \mathrm{Bl}_o(D^o)$ ,  $\theta_n^o : \mathcal{L}_{E_1 \times E_0}(nB, nB^{\mathrm{sing}}) \rightarrow H^0(Z_o, \mathfrak{K}_{Q_o}^{\otimes n})$ , then there is a representation  $\varphi_n : G_{d,t} \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut} \mathbb{C}(E_1 \times E_0)$ ,  $\varphi_n(g)(f) := \zeta_d^{-n} g^*(f)$ ,  $\forall g \in G_{d,t}$ ,  $\forall f \in \mathbb{C}(E_1 \times E_0)$ , so that  $\pi^* : \mathcal{L}_{Y_{d,t}}(nC, nC^{\mathrm{sing}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{E_1 \times E_0}(nB, nB^{\mathrm{sing}})^{\varphi_n(G_{d,t})}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear isomorphism

and  $\widehat{\zeta}^*\theta_n = \theta_n^o\pi^*$ .

In [4] HOLZAPFEL obtains  $\dim[\Gamma_o, n]_o$  and  $\dim[\Gamma_o, n]$  for  $n \geq 2$ , if  $\Gamma_o$  is a neat lattice, whose quotient  $\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_o$  is birational to an abelian surface.

**Theorem 1.** *For any  $n > 1$  there holds  $\dim[\Gamma, n] = \dim[\Gamma, n]_o + h$ ,  $\dim[\Gamma, n]_o = 3e(X) \binom{n}{2}$ , where  $e(X)$  is the Euler number of  $X$ . Moreover,  $[\Gamma, 1]_o = \{0\}$ ,  $\dim[\Gamma, 1] \leq h$  and there are  $\omega_{i[n]} \in [\Gamma, n]$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,*

$$(1) \quad \omega_{i[n]}(\kappa_j) = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 1 \leq i = j \leq h, \\ 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq h, \end{cases}$$

which complete any  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of  $[\Gamma, n]_o$  to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of  $[\Gamma, n]$ .

**Proof.** For a sheaf  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow S$ , put  $h^i(S, \mathcal{L}) := \dim H^i(S, \mathcal{L})$ ,  $h^{i,0}(S) := \dim H^i(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$  and recall that  $\chi(\mathcal{L}) := \sum_{i=0}^2 (-1)^i h^i(X, \mathcal{L})$ . If  $Y$  is a bi-elliptic surface, then  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{K}_Y^{\otimes d} = \mathcal{O}_Y$ , whereas  $[\text{Bl}^*(K_Y)]^2 = \text{Bl}^*(K_Y).L = \text{Bl}^*(K_Y).D = 0$ ,  $K_X^2 = [\text{Bl}^*(K_Y) + L]^2 = L^2 = -s$ . Theorem 1.3 [5] asserts that  $L_i.D = 4$ ,  $\forall 1 \leq i \leq s$ , so that  $K_X.D = L.D = 4s$  and  $(K_X + D)^2 = K_X^2 + K_X.D = 3s$ . Denote  $\mathfrak{S}_n := \mathfrak{K}_X(D)^{\otimes n}$ ,  $\mathfrak{T}_n := \mathfrak{S}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-D)$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  and note that  $\dim[\Gamma, 1]_o = h^0(X, \mathfrak{T}_1) = h^{2,0}(X) = h^{2,0}(Y) = 0$ . Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch Theorem for  $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$  gives  $\chi(\mathfrak{S}_n) = \chi(\mathfrak{T}_n) = 3e(X) \binom{n}{2}$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , as in the proof of Proposition 2.2 [4]. By Serre duality,  $h^2(X, \mathfrak{S}_n) = h^0(X, \mathfrak{K}_X \otimes \mathfrak{S}_n^*) = \dim \mathcal{L}_X(-(n-1)(\text{Bl}^*K_Y + L) - nD) = 0$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , due to  $\deg(\text{Bl}^*(K_Y)) = 0$ ,  $\deg(L), \deg(D) \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{T}_n$ ,  $n > 1$  are acyclic by Proposition 2.3 [4], one has  $\chi(\mathfrak{T}_n) = h^0(X, \mathfrak{T}_n) = \dim[\Gamma, n]_o$ . As in [4],  $(\mathfrak{S}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_D)^{\otimes(n-1)} = \mathcal{O}_D$  and there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathfrak{T}_n) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathfrak{S}_n) \rightarrow H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\forall n \geq 2$  (cf. (26) [4]). Thus,  $h^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D) = h$  and  $\dim[\Gamma, n] = h^0(X, \mathfrak{S}_n) = \dim[\Gamma, n]_o + h$ .

If  $V_i := \{\omega \in [\Gamma, n] \mid \omega(\kappa_j) = 0, \forall 1 \leq j \leq i\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq h$ , then  $V_0 := [\Gamma, n] \supseteq V_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq V_i \supseteq V_{i+1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq V_{h-1} \supseteq V_h =: [\Gamma, n]_o$  is a flag with  $\dim(V_{i-1}/V_i) \leq 1$ , as far as  $\forall \omega'_{i-1}, \omega''_{i-1} \in V_{i-1} \setminus V_i$  satisfy  $\omega'_{i-1}(\kappa_i)\omega''_{i-1} - \omega''_{i-1}(\kappa_i)\omega'_{i-1} \in V_i$ . Thus,  $\dim[\Gamma, 1] \leq h + \dim[\Gamma, 1]_o = h$ . If  $n \geq 2$ , then  $\dim(V_{i-1}/V_i) = 1$ ,  $\forall 1 \leq i \leq h$ , due to  $\dim[\Gamma, n] = h + \dim[\Gamma, n]_o$ . By an induction on  $h$ , let  $\omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_{h-1} \in [\Gamma, n]$  have  $\omega'_i(\kappa_j) = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $\forall 1 \leq i, j \leq h-1$  and  $\omega'_h \in V_{h-1} \setminus V_h$ . Then  $\omega_{h[n]} := (\omega'_h(\kappa_h))^{-1}\omega'_h$  and

$\omega_{i[n]} := \omega'_i - \omega'_i(\kappa_h)\omega_{h[n]}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq h-1$  satisfy (1). If  $\sum_{i=1}^h c_i(\omega_{i[n]} + [\Gamma, n]_o) = [\Gamma, n]_o$ ,  $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ , then evaluating at  $\kappa_j$  one gets  $c_j = 0$  and the linear independence of  $\omega_{i[n]} + [\Gamma, n]_o$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq h$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *If  $\text{Bl} : X \rightarrow Y_{d,t}$ , then any subspace  $V(dn) \subseteq [\Gamma, dn]$ ,  $V(dn) \ni \theta_{dn}(1), \omega_{1[d]}^n, \dots, \omega_{h[d]}^n$  gives a regular  $\Phi_{V(dn)} : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V(dn))$ .*

**Proof.** By  $\text{Bl}(L) = C^{\text{sing}}$ ,  $\text{Bl}(D) = C$ , one has  $X \setminus L \equiv Y_{d,t} \setminus C^{\text{sing}}$ ,  $X \setminus$

$(D \cup L) \equiv Y_{d,t} \setminus C$ . The rational curves  $M_j := \rho(L_j) \subset Z$  have  $M_j^{\text{sing}}, M_i \cap M_j \subseteq \partial_{\Gamma} \mathbb{B}/\Gamma, \forall 1 \leq i \neq j \leq h$ . By  $D_j^2 < 0, C_j^2 = 0$  one has  $\kappa_j \in M := \sum_{i=1}^h M_i$  and  $Z \setminus M \equiv X \setminus (D \cup L) \equiv Y_{d,t} \setminus C$ . Due to  $1 \in \theta_{dn}^{-1}(V(dn)), \Phi_{V(dn)}|_{Z \setminus M} \equiv \Phi_{\theta_{dn}^{-1}(V(dn))}|_{Y_{d,t} \setminus C}$  is regular. If  $M_i^o := M_i \setminus (\partial_{\Gamma} \mathbb{B}/\Gamma)$ , then  $\Phi_{V(dn)}|_{Z \setminus (\sum_{i=1}^h M_i^o)}$  is regular by  $\omega_{i|d}^n \in V(dn)$ . Fix  $M_i^o = M_1^o$ , let  $\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_r$  be a basis of  $V(dn)$ ,  $Z(\varphi_i) := \{x \in Q \mid \varphi_i(x) = 0\}$ . One has to show  $\Sigma := M_1^o \cap [\cap_{i=0}^r Z(\varphi_i)] = \emptyset$ . Due to  $M_1 \neq M_1^o$ , there is  $\varphi_i|_{M_1} \not\equiv 0$  and the sets  $\Sigma \subseteq \Sigma_i := M_1^o \cap Z(\varphi_i)$  are discrete. Let  $p \in \Sigma$  and  $T(p) \subset Q$  be such a tubular neighborhood of  $Z(\varphi_i)$  that  $T(p) \cap \Sigma_i = \{p\}, T(p) \cap M_j^o = \emptyset, \forall j \geq 2$ . The relatively closed and bounded  $Z(\varphi_i) \cap T(p)$  is compact and has connected  $T(p) \setminus Z(\varphi_i)$ . By Hartogs' Extension Theorem,  $\frac{\varphi_j}{\varphi_i} : T(p) \setminus Z(\varphi_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, j \neq i$  have holomorphic extensions  $\frac{\varphi_j}{\varphi_i} : T(p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The contradiction yields  $\Sigma = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

By Holzapfel's [4], the abelian minimal model of a neat  $X_o = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_o)'$  is a product  $E_1 \times E_0$  of isogeneous elliptic curves and  $E_1 \simeq E_0$  if  $E_j$  have CM by  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m}), m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Combining with the classification of the bi-elliptic surfaces, if a neat  $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$  has bi-elliptic minimal model  $Y_{d,t} = E_1 \times E_0/G_{d,t}$ , then  $E_1 = E_0 = E$  except for  $G_{d,t} \in \{G_{2,1}, G_{2,2}\}, \text{End}(E_1) = \text{End}(E_0) = \mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover,  $E = \mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{-m}}$  for the integers ring  $\mathcal{O}_m$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m}), m \in \{1, 3\}$  except for  $\text{Aut}_{\text{gr}} E = \{\pm 1\}$ .

By Lemma 3 [6], any elliptic curve  $B_j \subset E_1 \times E_0$  is of the form  $B_j = E(\alpha_j, \beta_j) + (u_j + \Lambda_1, v_j + \Lambda_0) := \{(\alpha_j c + u_j + \Lambda_1, \beta_j c + v_j + \Lambda_0) \mid c \in \mathbb{C}\} = \{(u + \Lambda_1, v + \Lambda_0) \mid \beta_j(u - u_j) - \alpha_j(v - v_j) \in \beta_j \Lambda_1 + \alpha_j \Lambda_0\}$  for some  $(\alpha_j, \beta_j) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}, u_j, v_j \in \mathbb{C}$ . Proposition 4 [6] shows that  $C_j := \pi(B_j) \subset Y_{d,t}$  is smooth iff  $B_j = E_1 \times (v_j + \Lambda_0)$  or  $B_j = (u_j + \Lambda_0) \times E_0$ .

Recall Weierstrass'  $\sigma(z) = \sigma_{\Lambda}(z) := z \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{z}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{z}{\lambda}\right)^2}$ , associated with  $(\Lambda, +) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}^2, +)$ . If  $\Lambda := \mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{-m}}$  is the integers ring of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$  for  $m \in \{1, 3\}$  and  $B_j \subset E \times E$  is an elliptic curve, defined over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$ , then there exist relatively prime  $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{-m}}$  with  $B_j = E(\alpha_j, \beta_j) + (u_j + \Lambda, v_j + \Lambda)$ .

From now on, for a rational function  $f : N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  on a curve or a surface  $N$ , denote by  $(f)_{\infty}^N$  the pole divisor of  $f$  on  $N$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$  with  $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{-m}}, m \in \{1, 3\}$  and  $B_j = E(\alpha_j, \beta_j) + (u_j + \Lambda, v_j + \Lambda) \subset E \times E, 1 \leq j \leq k$  be smooth elliptic curves with  $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{-m}}, \alpha_j \mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{-m}} + \beta_j \mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{-m}} = \mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{-m}}$ . If  $\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j = \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j = 0$  and  $\gamma_j := -\beta_j u_j + \alpha_j v_j$ ,*

then for  $\forall \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{-m}}$ ,  $f(u, v) := \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\sigma(\beta_j u - \alpha_j v + \gamma_j + \mu)}{\sigma(\beta_j u - \alpha_j v + \gamma_j)} : \mathbb{C}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$   
 is  $(\Lambda \times \Lambda, +)$ -periodic and induces an abelian function  $f : E \times E \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  
 $(f)_{\infty}^{E \times E} = \sum_{j=1}^k B_j$ .

**Proof.** There is a homomorphism  $\eta = \eta_{\Lambda} : (\Lambda, +) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, +)$  and a map  $\varepsilon : \Lambda \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  with  $\varepsilon(\lambda) = 1$  for  $\lambda \in 2\Lambda$  or  $\varepsilon(\lambda) = -1$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus 2\Lambda$ , such that  $\frac{\sigma(z + \lambda)}{\sigma(z)} = \varepsilon(\lambda)e^{\eta(\lambda)(z + \frac{\lambda}{2})}$  for  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda, \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus,  $\frac{f(u + \lambda, v)}{f(u, v)} = e^{[\sum_{j=1}^k \eta(\beta_j \lambda)]\mu}$ ,  $\frac{f(u, v + \lambda)}{f(u, v)} = e^{-[\sum_{j=1}^k \eta(\alpha_j \lambda)]\mu}$  for  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ . Legendre's equality  $\eta(\omega_{\sqrt{-m}}) - \omega_{\sqrt{-m}}\eta(1) = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}$  for  $\omega_{\sqrt{-1}} := \sqrt{-1}, \omega_{\sqrt{-3}} = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{6}}, \mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{-m}} = \mathbb{Z} + \omega_{\sqrt{-m}}\mathbb{Z}$  implies  $\eta(\beta_j \lambda) = \beta_j \lambda \eta(1) + \frac{4\pi}{(5-m)\sqrt{m}}(\beta_j \lambda - \overline{\beta_j \lambda})$ , so that  $\sum_{j=1}^k \eta(\beta_j \lambda) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j\right) \left\{ \eta(1) + \frac{4\pi}{(5-m)\sqrt{m}} \right\} \lambda - \overline{\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j\right) \frac{4\pi}{(5-m)\sqrt{m}} \bar{\lambda}} = 0$ ,  $\frac{f(u + \lambda, v)}{f(u, v)} =$

1. By  $(\sigma_{\Lambda})_0^{\mathbb{C}} = \Lambda$  follows  $(f)_{\infty}^{E \times E} = \sum_{j=1}^k B_j$ . □

Let  $\text{Irr}(\Delta)$  be the set of the irreducible components of a divisor  $\Delta$ .

**Proposition 4.** Let  $Y_{d,t} = E \times E / G_{d,t}$  with  $E = \mathbb{C} / \Lambda, \Lambda = \mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{-m}}, m \in \{1, 3\}, m_p(C) \geq 2$  for  $\forall p \in C^{\text{sing}}$  and  $C_1 = \pi((u_0 + \Lambda_1) \times E), C_2 = \pi(E \times (v_0 + \Lambda_0))$  be intersecting smooth irreducible components of  $C$  with  $|\text{Irr}(\pi^{-1}(C_2))| = dt$  (always  $|\text{Irr}(\pi^{-1}(C_1))| = dt$ ). Then:

- (i)  $\psi_1(u) := \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_d} \prod_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_t} \frac{\sigma(u - u_0 - kA_d - lB'_t + e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{d}} \mu_1)}{\sigma(u - u_0 - kA_d - lB'_t)}$  for  
 $\mu_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Lambda, \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}} - 1\right) \mu_1 \in \Lambda, d \in \{2, 3, 4\},$   
 $\psi_1(u) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_3} \frac{\sigma(u - u_0 - kA_6 + e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{3}} \mu_1) \sigma(u - u_0 - (k+3)A_6 + e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{3}} \mu_1)}{\sigma(u - u_0 - kA_6) \sigma(u - u_0 - (k+3)A_6)}$  for  
 $\mu_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{-3}}, \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} - 1\right) \mu_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{-3}}, d = 6,$   
 $\psi_2(v) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_d} \prod_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_t} \frac{\sigma(v - e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{d}} v_0 - lB''_t + e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{d}} \mu_2)}{\sigma(v - e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{d}} v_0 - lB''_t)}$  for  $\mu_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Lambda$  have pole divisors  
 $(\psi_j)_{\infty}^{E \times E} = \pi^{-1}(C_j)$  and  $\psi_j^d \in \mathcal{L}_{E \times E}(dB, dB^{\text{sing}})_{\varphi_d(G_{d,t})};$   
 (ii) if  $\psi_1^{m_1}, \psi_2^{m_2}, \psi_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{E \times E}(dB, dB^{\text{sing}})_{\varphi_d(G_{d,t})}, m_j \in \mathbb{N}$  are such that  $\Psi :=$

$(\psi_1^{m_1}, \psi_2^{m_2}, \psi_0) : E \times E \dashrightarrow \Psi(E \times E)$  is of  $\deg(\Psi) = dt$ , then  $V(dn) := l_{\mathbb{C}} \left( \theta_{dn}(\pi^*)^{-1}(S_o), \omega_{1[d]}^n, \dots, \omega_{h[d]}^n \right)$  with  $S_o := \{1, \psi_1^{m_1}, \psi_2^{m_2}, \psi_0\}$  provides a regular embedding  $\Phi_{V(dn)} : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V(dn)), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

As a result,  $\Phi_{[\Gamma, dn]} : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}([\Gamma, dn]) = \mathbb{P}^{\frac{3e(X)dn(dn-1)}{2} + h - 1}(\mathbb{C})$  is a regular embedding for  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** (i) In  $\psi_1(u), \psi_2(v)$  one multiplies the arguments of  $\sigma$  by  $e^{-\frac{2\pi ik}{d}}$ , in order to conform with the assumptions of Lemma 3 and to derive the  $(\Lambda, +)$ -periodicity of these functions. Let us fix liftings  $\tilde{g}_d := \mathcal{T}(A_d, 0) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\tilde{\tau}_t := \mathcal{T}(B'_t, B''_t) \in (\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{C}) \times \text{Aut}_{\text{gr}} E)^2$  of  $g_d, \tau_t \in (\text{Aut} E)^2 = (\mathcal{T}(E) \times \text{Aut}_{\text{gr}} E)^2$ . Straightforwardly,  $\frac{\tilde{g}_d^*(\psi_j)}{\psi_j}, \frac{\tilde{\tau}_t^*(\psi_j)}{\psi_j}$  have no zeroes and poles by  $\left( e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}} - 1 \right) B''_t \in \Lambda$  from the classification of  $Y_{d,t}$ . Thus, there are  $c_{d,j}, \zeta_{t,j} \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\tilde{g}_d^*(\psi_j) = c_{d,j}\psi_j, \tilde{\tau}_t^*(\psi_j) = \zeta_{t,j}\psi_j$ . By an induction on  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\tilde{g}_d^r)^*(\psi_j) = c_{d,j}^r\psi_j$  and  $(\tilde{\tau}_t^r)^*(\psi_j) = \zeta_{t,j}^r\psi_j$ . Since  $\tilde{g}_d^d, \tilde{\tau}_t^t \in \mathcal{T}(\Lambda \times \Lambda)$  preserve  $\psi_j$ , one has  $\psi_j = (\tilde{g}_d^d)^*(\psi_j) = c_{d,j}^d\psi_j, \psi_j = (\tilde{\tau}_t^t)^*(\psi_j) = \zeta_{t,j}^t\psi_j$ , whereas  $c_{d,j}^d = \zeta_{t,j}^t = 1$ . Thus,  $\tilde{g}_d^* \left( \psi_j^d \right) = [\tilde{g}_d^*(\psi_j)]^d = \psi_j^d, \tilde{\tau}_t^* \left( \psi_j^t \right) = [\tilde{\tau}_t^*(\psi_j)]^t = \psi_j^t$  and  $\tilde{\tau}_t^* \left( \psi_j^d \right) = \psi_j^d$ , since  $t$  divides  $d$ . That proves the  $\varphi_d(G_{d,t})$ -invariance of  $\psi_j^d$  and concludes (i).

(ii) By Lemma 2,  $\Phi_{V(dn)}$  is regular. Due to  $\theta_{dn}(1)(Z \setminus M) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\theta_{dn}(1)|_M \equiv 0, \Phi_{V(dn)}(Y_{d,t} \setminus C) \cap \Phi_{V(dn)}(M) = \emptyset$ . The rational map  $\bar{\Psi} := (\pi^*)^{-1}(\Psi) : Y_{d,t} \dashrightarrow \bar{\Psi}(Y_{d,t})$  is of  $\deg(\bar{\Psi}) = \frac{\deg(\Psi)}{dt} = 1$ . By assumption,  $|M_i \cap (\partial_{\Gamma} \mathbb{B}/\Gamma)| \geq 2$ , so that all the fibres of  $\Phi_{V(dn)}|_{M_i}, \Phi_{V(dn)}|_M$  are finite and  $\Phi_{V(dn)}, \Phi_{[\Gamma, dn]}$  are injective by  $V(dn) \subseteq [\Gamma, dn]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5** (DI CERBO and STOVER [5]). *Let  $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$  for  $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{-1}}$ ,  $\lambda_0 := 0, \lambda_1 := \frac{1}{2}, \lambda_2 := \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}, \lambda_3 := \frac{1 + \sqrt{-1}}{2}, T_j := \lambda_j + \Lambda \in E^{2\text{-tor}}$  for  $0 \leq j \leq 3$ . Consider the elliptic curves  $B_j := E \left( 1, \sqrt{-1}^j \right), 1 \leq j \leq 4, B_{4+k} := T_k \times E,$*

$B_{6+k} := E \times T_k, 1 \leq k \leq 2$  and put  $B := \sum_{j=1}^8 B_j, \mathfrak{g}_2 := \mathcal{T}(T_3, T_3) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in$

$(\text{Aut} E)^2, t_{ij} := \pi(T_i, T_j) \in Y_2 := E \times E / \langle \mathfrak{g}_2 \rangle$ . Then  $C_j := \pi(B_j), 1 \leq j \leq 2$  are singular,  $C_k := \pi(B_{2k-1}), 3 \leq k \leq 4$  are intersecting smooth components of

$C := \sum_{j=1}^4 C_j$ , and the blow up  $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$  of  $Y_2$  at  $C^{\text{sing}} = \{t_{00}, t_{11}, t_{12}\}$  is a neat

toroidal compactification with  $e(X) = 3$  and  $D := X \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma) = \sum_{j=1}^4 D_j$ .

From now on the notations from Theorem 5 are valid.

**Theorem 6.** *Note that*

$$e_{157} := \frac{\sigma(iu - v + \lambda_3)\sigma(-iu + i\lambda_1 + \lambda_3)\sigma(v - \lambda_1 + \lambda_3)}{\sigma(iu - v)\sigma(-iu + i\lambda_1)\sigma(v - \lambda_1)},$$

$$e_{467} := \frac{\sigma(u - v + \lambda_3)\sigma(-u + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)\sigma(v - \lambda_1 + \lambda_3)}{\sigma(u - v)\sigma(-u + \lambda_2)\sigma(v - \lambda_1)},$$

$e_{56} := \frac{\sigma(u)\sigma(-u + \lambda_3)}{\sigma(u - \lambda_1)\sigma(-u + \lambda_2)}v$  are from  $\mathcal{L}_{E \times E}(B, B^{\text{sing}})$ . If

$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2 := \mathcal{T}(\lambda_3, \lambda_3) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in (\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes \text{Aut}_{\text{gr}} E)^2$ , then  $f_{134} := (\pi^*)^{-1}(e_{157} - \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2^*(e_{157}))$ ,  $f_{234} := (\pi^*)^{-1}(e_{467} - \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2^*(e_{467}))$ ,  $f_3 := (\pi^*)^{-1}(e_{56}) \in \mathcal{L}_{Y_2}(C, C^{\text{sing}})$ ,  $\Upsilon_{134} := \theta_1(f_{134})$ ,  $\Upsilon_{234} := \theta_1(f_{234})$ ,  $\Upsilon_3 := \theta_1(f_3)$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of  $[\Gamma, 1]$  and  $\Phi_{[\Gamma, 1]} = [\Upsilon_{134} : \Upsilon_{234} : \Upsilon_3] : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}([\Gamma, 1]) = \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$  is a non-regular, finite dominant rational map.

**Proof.** Note that  $(e_{i_1 \dots i_s})_{\infty}^{E \times E} = B_{i_1} + \dots + B_{i_s}$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{L}_{Y_2}(C, C^{\text{sing}})$ ,  $C_j \subset (f)_{\infty}^{Y_2}$  if and only if  $\theta_1(f)(\kappa_j) \neq 0$ . Let  $c_1 \Upsilon_{134} + c_2 \Upsilon_{234} + c_3 \Upsilon_3 = 0$ ,  $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ . The evaluation at  $\kappa_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$  yields a homogeneous linear system on  $c_i$  with invertible coefficient matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon_{134}(\kappa_1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Upsilon_{234}(\kappa_2) & 0 \\ \Upsilon_{134}(\kappa_3) & \Upsilon_{234}(\kappa_3) & \Upsilon_3(\kappa_3) \end{pmatrix}$  and proves the linear independence of  $\Upsilon_{134}$ ,  $\Upsilon_{234}$ ,  $\Upsilon_3$ . If  $V_i := \{\omega \in [\Gamma, n] \mid \omega(\kappa_j) = 0, \forall 1 \leq j \leq i\}$ ,  $\Upsilon \in [\Gamma, 1]$ , then  $\varphi_1 := \Upsilon_{134}(\kappa_1)\Upsilon - \Upsilon(\kappa_1)\Upsilon_{134} \in V_1$ ,  $\varphi_{12} := \Upsilon_{234}(\kappa_2)\varphi_1 - \varphi_1(\kappa_2)\Upsilon_{234} \in V_2$ ,  $\varphi_0 := \Upsilon_3(\kappa_3)\varphi_{12} - \varphi_{12}(\kappa_3)\Upsilon_3 \in V_3$ . When  $\varphi_0 \neq 0$ ,  $e := \pi^*\theta_1^{-1}(\varphi_0)$  has  $(e)_{\infty}^{E \times E} = \pi^{-1}(C_4) = B_7 + B_8$  by  $[\Gamma, 1]_o = 0$  and  $(e)_{\infty}^{E \times (v_o + \Lambda)} = \emptyset$ ,  $\forall v_o + \Lambda \in E \setminus \{T_1, T_2\}$ , so that  $e = e(v) = \frac{\sigma(v - \alpha_1)\sigma(v - \lambda_3 + \alpha_1)}{\sigma(v - \lambda_1)\sigma(v - \lambda_2)} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2^*(e) \notin \mathcal{L}_{E \times E}(B, B^{\text{sing}})^{\varphi_1(\langle \mathfrak{g}_2 \rangle)}$

is an absurd. Thus,  $\varphi_0 \equiv 0$ ,  $\varphi_{12} \in \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Upsilon_3)$ ,  $\varphi_1 \in \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Upsilon_{234}, \Upsilon_3)$ ,  $\Upsilon \in \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Upsilon_{134}, \Upsilon_{234}, \Upsilon_3)$  and  $\Upsilon_{134}$ ,  $\Upsilon_{234}$ ,  $\Upsilon_3$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of  $[\Gamma, 1]$ .

If  $\Phi_{[\Gamma, 1]} := [\Upsilon_{134} : \Upsilon_{234} : \Upsilon_3]$ , then  $\Phi_{[\Gamma, 1]}|_{Y_2 \setminus C} = [f_{134} : f_{234} : f_3]$  is not defined at  $t_{03}$ . Let  $f(u, v) := \frac{\pi^*(f_{234})(u, v)}{\pi^*(f_3)(u)}$ , whereas  $f(iu, v) = e^{\frac{n(i)}{2}} \frac{\pi^*(f_{134})(u, v)}{\pi^*(f_3)(u)}$  and we need  $\Psi := (f(iu, v), f(u, v)) : A_o := E \times E \setminus B \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  to be of  $\text{rk}(\Psi) = 2$ . For any  $\phi : A_o \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  denote  $\text{grad}(\phi) := \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial v} \right)$  to have  $T_p^{1,0} \Psi^{-1}(x_1, x_2) = l_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{grad}(f(iu, v)), \text{grad}(f(u, v)))^{\perp}$ . If  $\text{rk}(\Psi) < 2$ , then generically  $\dim \Psi^{-1}(x_1, x_2) = 1$  and  $l_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{grad}(f(u, v))) = l_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{grad}(f(iu, v))) = l_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{grad}(f(-u, v)))$ . Thus,  $\Psi_o := (f(-u, v), f(u, v)) : A_o \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  is of  $\text{rk}(\Psi_o) = 1$ ,  $\zeta(u, v) := \frac{f(-u, v)}{f(u, v)} : A_o \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  takes finitely many values by  $f(u, v)(A_o) = \mathbb{C}$

and  $\zeta(u, v) \equiv C_o \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $f_{\varepsilon}(u, v) := \frac{1}{2}[f(u, v) + \varepsilon f(-u, v)]$ ,  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ , then  $(1 - C_o)f_1(u, v) = (1 + C_o)f_{-1}(u, v)$  requires  $C_o = \pm 1$ . Due to  $\pi^*(f_3)(-u) = -\pi^*(f_3)(u)$ ,  $\pi^*(f_{234})(-u, v) = -C_o \pi^*(f_{234})(u, v)$  and  $e_{2467}(u, v) = e_{2458}(u, v)$  for  $e_{2467}(u, v) := e_{467}(-u, v) + C_o e_{467}(u, v)$ ,  $e_{2458}(u, v) := \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2^*(e_{2467})(u, v)$ . If

$v + \Lambda \neq T_1, T_2$ , then  $\infty = e_{2467}(\lambda_2, v) = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2^*(e_{2467})(\lambda_2, v) = e_{2467}(\lambda_1, v) = 0$  is an absurd, showing  $\text{rk}(\Psi) = 2$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7.** *Note that*

$$\psi_1(u) := e_{56}(u), \quad \psi_2(v) = h_{78}(v) := \frac{\sigma(v)\sigma(-v + \lambda_3)}{\sigma(v - \lambda_1)\sigma(-v + \lambda_2)}$$

belong to  $\mathcal{L}_{E \times E}(B, B^{\text{sing}})$ ,  $h_{467}(u, v) := \frac{\sigma(u - v + \lambda_1)\sigma(-u + \lambda_2 + \lambda_1)\sigma(v)}{\sigma(u - v)\sigma(-u + \lambda_2)\sigma(v - \lambda_1)}$  is from  $\mathcal{L}_{E \times E}(B, 2B^{\text{sing}})$  and let  $\omega_{i[2]} \in [\Gamma, 2]$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, 4\}$  satisfy (1). Then  $\psi_0 := h_{467} + \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2^*(h_{467})$ ,  $\psi_2 \in \pi^* \mathcal{L}_{Y_2}(C, 2C^{\text{sing}})$ , the rational map  $\Psi := (\psi_1^2, \psi_2, \psi_0) : E \times E \dashrightarrow \Psi(E \times E)$  is of  $\text{deg}(\Psi) = 2$ ,  $V(2n) := l_{\mathbb{C}}(\theta_{2n}(\pi^*)^{-1} S_o, \omega_{1[2]}^n, \dots, \omega_{4[2]}^n)$  with  $S_o := \{1, \psi_1^2, \psi_2, \psi_0\}$  has  $\dim V(2) = 7$ ,  $\dim V(2n) = 8$ ,  $\forall n \geq 2$  and the morphisms  $\Phi_{V(2n)} : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V(2n))$ ,  $\Phi_{[\Gamma, 2n]} : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{9n(2n-1)+3}(\mathbb{C})$  are regular embeddings for  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** At  $\forall x_1 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $(\psi_1^2)^{-1}(x_1) = \psi_1^{-1}(\sqrt{x_1}) \amalg \psi_1^{-1}(-\sqrt{x_1}) \subset E \times E$ ,  $\psi_1^{-1}(\varepsilon\sqrt{x_1}) = \{(P(\varepsilon\sqrt{x_1}), Q), (T_3 - P(\varepsilon\sqrt{x_1}), Q) \mid Q \in E\}$ ,  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$  by  $(\psi_1)_{\infty}^E = \{T_1, T_2\}$ . Since  $\langle \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2 \rangle$  acts on  $(\psi_1^2)^{-1}(x_1)$ , if  $P(\sqrt{x_1}) \notin E^{2\text{-tor}}$ , then  $\psi_1^{-1}(-\sqrt{x_1}) = \{(T_3 + P(\sqrt{x_1}), Q), (-P(\sqrt{x_1}), Q) \mid Q \in E\}$ . Also  $\psi_2^{-1}(x_2) = \{(P, Q(x_2)), (P, T_3 - Q(x_2)) \mid P \in E\}$ ,  $\forall x_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  by  $(\psi_2)_{\infty}^E = \{T_1, T_2\}$ . If  $2P(\sqrt{x_1}), 2Q(x_2) \notin \langle T_3 \rangle$ , then  $(\psi_1^2, \psi_2)^{-1}(x_1, x_2) = \amalg_{P \in S_{12}} \text{Orb}_{\langle \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2 \rangle}(P, Q(x_2))$  for  $S_{12} := \{\pm P(\sqrt{x_1}), T_3 \mp P(\sqrt{x_1})\}$ ,

$$|S_{12}| = 4, \quad \sum_{P \in S_{12}} P = T_0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\psi_2, \psi_0)^{-1}(x_2, x_3) = \amalg_{P \in S_{20}} \text{Orb}_{\langle \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2 \rangle}(P, Q(x_2)) \quad \text{with}$$

$$\sum_{P \in S_{20}} P = T_3 \quad \text{by} \quad (\psi_0(u, Q(x_2)))_{\infty}^E = \{\pm Q(x_2), T_1, T_2\}, \quad \text{where} \quad |S_{20}| = 4 \quad \text{for a generic}$$

$x_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ . That implies  $|\Psi^{-1}(x) \cap [E \times Q(x_2)]| < 4$ ,  $|\Psi(x)| < 8$  and  $\text{deg} \Psi \in \{2, 4\}$  as a divisor of  $\text{deg}(\psi_2, \psi_0) = 8$ . If  $\text{deg}(\Psi) = 4$  and  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$  is generic, then  $\Psi^{-1}(x) = \text{Orb}_{\langle \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2 \rangle}(P(\sqrt{x_1}), Q(x_2)) \amalg \text{Orb}_{\langle \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2 \rangle}(P, Q(x_2))$  for  $P \in S_{12} \setminus \{P(\sqrt{x_1})\}$ . Thus,  $\psi_0$  satisfies exactly one of the following 3 identities: (A)  $\psi_0(u, v) = \psi_0(\lambda_3 - u, v)$ , (B)  $\psi_0(u, v) = \psi_0(\lambda_3 + u, v)$  or (C)  $\psi_0(u, v) = \psi_0(-u, v)$ . For  $2(v_o + \Lambda) \notin \langle T_3 \rangle$ , note that  $\psi_0(v_o, v_o) = \infty \neq \psi_0(\lambda_3 \pm v_o, v_o)$  rules out (A), (B). If (C),  $h_{2467}(u, v) := h_{467}(u, v) - h_{467}(-u, v)$ ,  $h_{2458}(u, v) := -\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2^*(h_{2467})(u, v)$ , then  $h_{2467}(u, v) = h_{2458}(u, v)$  and  $h_{2467}(\lambda_2, v_o) = \infty \neq -h_{2467}(\lambda_1, \lambda_3 - v_o) = h_{2458}(\lambda_2, v_o)$  for  $v_o + \Lambda \in E \setminus E^{2\text{-tor}}$ . Thus,  $\text{deg} \Psi = 2$  and the regular map  $\Phi_{V(2n)}$  is injective.

Note that  $\dim(V(2n)/V(2n) \cap [\Gamma, 2n]_o) = 4$  by  $\omega_{i[2]}^n \in V(2n)$ . Due to 1,  $\psi_2$ ,  $\psi_0 \in \pi^* \mathcal{L}_{Y_2}(C, 2C^{\text{sing}}) \subseteq \pi^* \theta_{2n}^{-1}([\Gamma, 2n]_o)$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\psi_1^2 \in \pi^* \mathcal{L}_{Y_2}(2C, 2C^{\text{sing}}) \subseteq \pi^* \theta_{2n}^{-1}([\Gamma, 2n]_o)$ ,  $\forall n \geq 2$ , one has  $V(2) \cap [\Gamma, 2]_o = l_{\mathbb{C}}(\theta_2(\pi^*)^{-1}(S_o \setminus \{\psi_1^2\}))$  and  $V(2n) \cap [\Gamma, 2n]_o = l_{\mathbb{C}}(\theta_2(\pi^*)^{-1}(S_o))$  for  $\forall n \geq 2$ . If  $u_o + \Lambda \in E \setminus E^{2\text{-tor}}$ , then  $(u_o + \Lambda, u_o + \Lambda) \in (\psi_0)_{\infty}^{E \times E} \setminus ((\psi_1^2)_{\infty}^{E \times E} \cup (\psi_2)_{\infty}^{E \times E})$ , so that  $\psi_0 \notin \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}(1, \psi_1^2, \psi_2)$ .



That is why,  $\dim(V(2) \cap [\Gamma, 2]_o) = 3$  and  $\dim(V(2n) \cap [\Gamma, 2n]_o) = 4$  for  $\forall n \geq 2$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [<sup>1</sup>] BAILY W., A. BOREL (1966) Compactification of arithmetic quotients of bounded symmetric domains, *Ann. Math. (2)*, **84**, 442–528.
- [<sup>2</sup>] HOLZAPFEL R.-P. (2001) Jacobi theta embedding of a hyperbolic 4-space with cusps, In: *Third International Conference on Geometry, Integrability and Quantization June 14–23, 2001, Varna, Bulgaria* (eds Ivailo M. Mladenov and Gregory L. Naber), Sofia, Coral Press, 11–63.
- [<sup>3</sup>] BESHKOV P. G., A. K. KASPARYAN, G. K. SANKARAN (2019) Saturated and primitive smooth toroidal compactifications of ball quotients, *Ann. Sofia Univ., Fac. Math. and Inf.*, **106**, 53–77.
- [<sup>4</sup>] HOLZAPFEL R. P. (2001) Abelian approach to Picard modular forms: Dimension formulas, *Humboldt Univ. Berlin Preprint*, **01-14**.
- [<sup>5</sup>] DI CERBO L.F., M. STOVER (2019) Punctured spheres in complex hyperbolic surfaces and bi-elliptic ball quotient compactifications, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **372**(7), 4627–4646.
- [<sup>6</sup>] BESHKOV P., A. KASPARIAN (2022) Smooth toroidal compactifications with bi-elliptic minimal model and Euler number  $\leq 3$ , (in progress).

*Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics  
Sofia University “St. Kliment Ohridski”  
5 James Bouchier Blvd  
1164 Sofia, Bulgaria  
e-mail: kasparia@fmi.uni-sofia.bg*